



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/657,142	09/09/2003	Tatsuhiko Monji	056208.52762US	4042
	23911 . CROWELL &	7590 09/18/200 MORING LLP	7	EXAMINER	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300	J P	ALLISON, ANDRAE S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	•	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,142	MONJI, TATSUHIKO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrae S. Allison	2624	

•	Andrae S. Allison	2624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must time ly file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 CI	nce, which FR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of embion a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee I statutory period for reply originally set	 The appropriate exter in the final Office action; 	nsion fee under 37 or (2) as set forth i					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expire a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a single or	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS	•	•	•					
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered l	oecause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 	w);		he issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ected claims.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Jotice of Appeal will in	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a I).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
		•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that both references teaches away from the use of a an invisible light flood light, however, the Examiner disagrees. First, only the sy stem of Kojima would be modified to add the invisible flood light and it would be obvious that an invisible light flood light could be substituted for estimating a reflection factor in an infrared region. Applicant also argued that Shigeo does not mention an invisible floodlight apparatus, however, Shigeo clearly teaches in [p][009] that the image pick-up detects both visible and infrared light. Thus it would have obvious that there has to be a source or means of infrared light so that the image pick-up can detect the reflected light. Moreover, Shiego clearly teaches that the obstruction detection apparatus can be installed on a car (see [p][001]).

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER